

**BAKER BOTTS LLP**

Fax receipt will not be confirmed by phone unless requested.

**Unofficial****RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAR 24 2004

2001 ROSS AVENUE  
DALLAS, TEXAS  
75201-2980  
214.953.6500  
FAX 214.953.6503  
www.bakerbotts.comAUSTIN  
BAKU  
DALLAS  
HOUSTON  
LONDON  
MOSCOW  
NEW YORK  
RIYADH  
WASHINGTON**TO** Examiner Monplaisir G. Hamilton**FAX NO.** 703-746-9118**FIRM/COMPANY** Patent and Trademark Office**VOICE NO.****FROM** Brian W. Oaks**VOICE NO.** 214-953-6986**DATE** March 24, 2004**RETURN TO** Darla J. Rupert**PERSONAL FAX NO.** 214-661-4676**PAGES (including cover)** 2**MESSAGE**

**Notice of Confidentiality**

The information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile is: 1. Subject to the Attorney-client Privilege; 2. Attorney Work Product; or 3. Confidential. It is intended only for the individual or entity designated above. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of or reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile by or to anyone other than the recipient designated above by the sender is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify Baker Botts LLP, by telephone at 214.953.6740 immediately. Any facsimile erroneously transmitted to you should be immediately returned to the sender by U.S. Mail or, if authorization is granted by the sender, destroyed.

If you do not receive all pages, please call: 214.953.6566 or 214.953.6740 for assistance.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  
020431.0790

PATENT APPLICATION  
09/825,083

1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 24 2004

In re Application of:

Krishnadas C. Kootale

Serial No.:

09/825,083

Filing Date:

April 2, 2001

Group Art Unit:

2172

Examiner:

Monplaisir G. Hamilton

Title:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ALLOCATING  
DATA IN A HIERARCHICAL ORGANIZATION  
OF DATA

Unofficial

### AGENDA FOR TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

Examiner Hamilton,

At your request, I have prepared this agenda for our telephone conference on Friday, March 26, 2004. In conjunction with the filing of the previous Request for Continued Examination, the Applicants amended the independent claims to recite the step of "determining a new value for each child by allocating the new values of the parents to the children based on . . . *either the sum of the variations of the children or a matrix of the variations of the children.*"

In response to this amendment, you provided a new reference, Moslares, which you are using to reject the claims. Regardless of the other limitations of the independent and dependant claims, I cannot see how the sections you cite from Moslares disclose the limitation above. I would like to discuss this issue in the interview.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS, L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Applicant  
Brian W. Oaks  
Reg. No. 44,981